Do you think that process indicators help us to progress towards more equitable food systems? WHY?

**YES**

- Easier to measure, so we can get started sooner!
  - because they are the only way of measuring the extent to which multiple perspectives are truly included
  - the quality of the process determines the quality of outcomes and diversity of perspectives

- More easily disaggregated into different social groups (women, youth, PWGs etc)

- **YES, what we measure to a large degree determines what we do**
  - Yes, because it gives you specific parameters and it's easier to prioritize and it also helps with decision making
  - Yes! Seeing it measured on paper gives hope - inspiration - and also encourages others to do better.

- **Yes, Measurement and Accountability: Process indicators provide measurable data on the steps being taken towards achieving equitable food systems.**
  - We should make sure we understand that change is not necessarily a linear process.

- Process does not lead to outcome/impact if the theory of change is not right

- World is a bit connected, unless developed world couldn't contribute huge and act strong under developed country can do less. Still we are doing some things but late.

- Such indicators can help practitioners and advocates to clarify which options might be more equitable, but may be no use for persuading policymakers who need headlines

- **Depends - easy to assume short-term successes = long-term stability**
  - Process indicators less flexible than results-based planning (measured by outcome indicators), so we risk being less responsive to changing eco-political context

- Yes, Regular reporting on process indicators makes the journey towards equitable food systems transparent.
  - Yes. While outcome indicators focus on the ends, process indicators provide insights into the journey.

**NO**

- Help, maybe, but transformative action is needed and this requires a dramatic mindset and addressing vested interests and power imbalances just measuring is not enough

- It depends on what kind of indicators we are assessing. Are we involving all stakeholders (not just from research) in designing these metrics?

- Problem, process indicators usually don't go far enough in capturing the quality of participation (not just quantity e.g. of women), since more difficult to quantify thus neglected

- No. Process indicators might show that actions are being taken, but they don't necessarily reflect the quality or effectiveness of those actions.

- No, Waiting to fulfill certain process indicators before moving to the next step might delay necessary actions, especially in situations that require swift responses.