



October 24, 2019

## Critical Audit Matters Have Arrived

### Insights into the early results

By Ron Kral, CPA, CMA, CGMA  
Partner, Kral Ussery LLC

Critical audit matters (CAMs) are upon us and the early results are in!

CAMs expand the independent auditors' report to disclose audit-specific information that relate to accounts or disclosures that are material to the financial statements and involve challenging, subjective, or complex auditor judgment. The CAM requirements are contained in PCAOB's standard – [AS 3101](#), and are being phased in starting with audits of large accelerated filers for fiscal years ending on or after June 30, 2019. The CAM provisions of AS 3101 for other applicable filers take effect for fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2020.

Kral Ussery analyzed Form 10-Ks filed with the SEC for large accelerated filers with fiscal years ended June 30, 2019. Fifty such 10-Ks were identified with 47 being filed in August and three during the first half of September 2019. The early results shed light on the types of matters being reported as well as the average number of CAMs per audit report for the Big Four accounting firms.

Auditors disclosed from one to four CAMs for each of the 50 large accelerated filers for a total of 89 CAMs with an average of 1.8 per audit report. Specifically, there were 22 with one, 19 with two, 7 with three, and 2 with four CAMs.

The matters reported, and percentages of specific matters to the total of 89, are:

1. **27.0%** Goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment (24) broken down as follows:
  - Goodwill impairment (16)
  - Combined matters of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment (7)
  - Indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment (1)
2. **21.3%** Revenue recognition, four of which were specific to the adoption of ASC 606 (19)
3. **12.4%** Accounting for acquisitions, including the valuation of intangible assets (11)
4. **12.4%** Tax contingencies, including international assumptions and estimates (11)
5. **6.7%** Fair value of liabilities or equity (6)
6. **4.5%** Inventory valuation and reserves (4)
7. **3.4%** Allowance for loan and lease losses (3)
8. **3.4%** Capitalized software development costs (3)
9. **3.4%** Contingent liability judgments, including loss contingencies (3)
10. **2.2%** Related party identification (2)
11. **2.2%** Other management estimates and allowances (2)
12. **1.1%** Impairment of royalty interests (1)

Not surprisingly, nearly half of all CAMs related to goodwill and intangible asset impairment, and revenue recognition. Accounting for acquisitions and tax contingencies represented approximately



a quarter of CAMS, with the last quarter being a mixed assortment of other valuation, estimates, allowances, and judgmental matters. Even the two pertaining to related party identification cited auditor judgment in assessing the sufficiency of the procedures performed to identify related parties and related party transactions.

Perhaps the most interesting aspect of the analysis of these initial 50 audit reports with CAMs is the ratio of CAMs per audit report by Big Four audit firms. The following table conveys the results:

	Deloitte	EY	KPMG	PwC	Other (1)	Total
Audit Reports	10	12	13	9	6	50
CAMs	16	32	22	11	8	89
CAMs per Report	1.6	2.7	1.7	1.2	1.3	1.8

(1) Includes two audit reports by Crowe and GT, and one audit report by BDO and Moss Adams.

As shown in the table, EY clearly has the highest ratio of CAMs per audit report at nearly 3, while Deloitte and KPMG are near the average. PwC has the least with 1.2 CAMs per audit report.

We also noted that some of the CAM disclosures deviated from an example provide by the Center for Audit Quality (CAQ) in their publication [Lessons Learned, Questions to Consider, and an Illustrative Example](#), December 2018. In addition to adhering to PCAOB’s AS 3101, audit firms are advised to keep the CAQ illustrative example in mind.

This early round of CAMs offers some insights and confirmations into the most challenging, subjective, and complex judgments auditors face. Investors and other financial statement users now have a glimpse into the riskier areas of accounting and financial reporting through the eyes of the auditors. In addition, both public companies and other preparers can benefit in knowing where the most difficult audit areas are so they can steer effective controls in these directions.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Ron Kral** is a partner of [Kral Ussery LLC](#), a public accounting firm delivering advisory services, litigation support and internal audits. Ron is a highly rated speaker, trainer and advisor. He is a member of 4 of the 5 COSO sponsoring organizations; the AICPA, FEI, IIA, and IMA. Contact Ron at [Rkral@KralUssery.com](mailto:Rkral@KralUssery.com) or [www.linkedin.com/in/ronkral](http://www.linkedin.com/in/ronkral).

**Kral Ussery LLC** serves US public and private companies to protect and grow shareholder value, as well as non-profits and governments with internal controls and in combating fraud. We assist entities with governance and in all matters relating to financial reporting, including SEC compliance, internal controls testing and remediation, IT general controls, IPO readiness, M&A transactions, and US GAAP. Visit us at [www.KralUssery.com](http://www.KralUssery.com).

**This is an article from the Governance Issues™ Newsletter, Volume 2019, Number 3, published on October 24, 2019 by Kral Ussery LLC.**

© Kral Ussery LLC. Copyright: The Governance Issues™ Newsletter is meant to be distributed freely to interested parties. However, any use of this article must credit the respective author and Kral Ussery LLC as the publisher. All rights reserved. Use of the newsletter article constitutes acceptance of our [Disclaimer](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). To automatically receive the newsletter, go to [www.KralUssery.com](http://www.KralUssery.com) and register. Or, send a request to [newsletter@KralUssery.com](mailto:newsletter@KralUssery.com) and we will register you.